



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,997	04/27/2001	Shoji Kurakake	10745/14	1384	
75	90 08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
Tadashi Horie		OSMAN, RAMY M			
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 6			2157		
.			DATE MAILED: 08/12/200	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				12 LX			
	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	4rc)			
	09/844,997	ĺ	KURAKAKE ET AL.	V			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Ramy M Osma	l l	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory find will apply and will expand the cause the application.	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consid						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been re nents have been re priority documents reau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicati s have been receive 7.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachmost/c\							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:	ate	-152)			

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the network" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1,2,8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ramasubramani et al (US Patent No 6,314,108) [herein Rama].
- 5. In reference to claim 1, Rama teaches a communication system that utilizes a plurality of network-access measures comprising:

a communication device operable to connect the network using at least one of the multiple network-access measures; and a server operable to connect to the communication device

Art Unit: 2157

to provide the communication device with an available network-access measure for a location of the communication device (Abstract and column 2 lines 24-67).

6. In reference to claim 2, Rama teaches the system of claim 1 wherein the server comprises:

a database to store the network-access measures; and an informing device to inform the communication device of a search result of available network-access measures (column 3 lines 1-23, column 4 lines 10-50, column 6 lines 40-67 and column 7 lines 1-53).

- 7. In reference to claim 8, Rama teaches the system of claim 1 wherein the communication device is operable to obtain communication soûware programs (figure 2 and column 6 lines 1-45).
- 8. In reference to claim 9, Rama teaches the system of claim 8 wherein the server provides the communication software to the communication device (figure 2 and column 6 lines 1-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramasubramani et al (US Patent No 6,314,108) in view of Ginzboorg et al (US Patent No 6,047,051).

Rama teaches the system of claim 2 above. Rama fails to explicitly teach wherein the database further stores authentication-key information, from a service provider and informs the

Art Unit: 2157

communication device. However, Ginzboorg teaches a service provider sending keys to a server for storage and transmittal to customer terminals (mobile terminals) for the purpose of authorizing certain terminals for network access (column 4 lines 1-25 aqnd column 14 lines 45-67).

It would have been obvious for one of orinary skill in the art to modify Rama by providing keys to the communication devices as per the teachings of Ginzboorg for the purpose of authorizing certain terminals for network access.

11. Claims 6 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramasubramani et al (US Patent No 6,314,108) in view of Ahmed et al (US Patent No 6,160,804).

Rama teaches the system of claim 2 above. Rama fails to explicitly teach an update mechanism to update information regarding available network-access measures when the communication device changes its location; and an informing device for informing the communication device of the updated available network-access measure information. However, Ahmed teaches updating network information whenever a mobile station changes location for the purpose of mobility management within a network (column 4 lines 43-67 and column 13 lines 30-50).

It would have been obvious for one of orinary skill in the art to modify Rama by updating network information whenever a mobile station changes location as per the teachings of Ahmed location for the purpose of mobility management within a network.

Art Unit: 2157

12. Claims 10-20 do not teach or define any new limitations above the rejected claims 1-9, and are therefore rejected for similar reasons.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M Osman whose telephone number is (703) 305-8050. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMO July 30, 2004

> SALEH NAJJAR PRIMARY EXAMINER